INDIAN BUREAU OF MINES MINERALS DEVELOPMENT AND REGULATION DIVISION

MCDR INSPECTION REPORT

Bhubaneshwar regional office

Mine file No : ORI/MN/SNG/MCDR-16/BBS Mine code : 400RI13005

(i) Name of the Inspecting : CHHA) SHRI L H CHHANGTE

Officer and ID No.

(ii) Designation : Deputy Controller Mines

(iii) Accompaning mine : H.H. Panda , Mines Manager

Official with Designation

(iv) Date of Inspection : 30/07/2016
(v) Prev.inspection date : 08/03/2015

PART-I : GENERAL INFORMATION

1. (a) Mine Name : GANUA

(b) Registration NO: :

(c) Category : B Manual
(d) Type of Working : Opencast

(e) Postal address

State : ORISSA

District : SUNDARGARH

Village : GANUA Taluka : BONAI Post office : MALDA

Pin Code : FAX No. : E-mail :

Phone : 75362

(f) Police Station :

(g) First opening date : 06/06/1980

(h) Weekly day of rest : MON

2. Address for : VILL: GONUA, PO:MALDA correspondance DIST: SUNDERGARH, ORISSA

POLICE STATION . KOIRA

3. (a) Lease Number : ORIO694
(b) Lease area : 13.79
(c) Period of lease : 20

(d) Date of Expiry : 10/05/2019

4. Mineral worked : MANGANESE ORE Main

5. Name and Address of the

Lessee : PRABODH MOHANTY (L/HOF S.N.MOHANTY.)

AT: STATION ROAD, P.O. BARBIL DIST. KEONJHAR, ORISSA KEONJHAR ORISSA

Phone: FAX:

Owner : S.N.MOHANTY

STATION ROAD POST BOX NO.21 PO. BARBIL KEONJHAR ORISSA Phone: 30362 (0), 3025

FAX :

Mining Engineer

Name : L.K.BEHURIA, Full Time

Qualification : B.E

Appointment/ : 19/05/1997

Termination date

Manager

Name : S.N.SINGH
Qualification : PERMIT MANAGER

Appointment/ : 01/11/1983

Termination date

6. Date of approval of Mining : Renewal under rule 24 MCR1960 11/11/2004
Plan/Scheme of Mining Modif.of approved Mining Plan 17/03/2008
Modif.approved Mining Scheme 02/08/2013
Mining Scheme rule 12 MCDR1988 22/05/2014

PART - II : OBSERVATION/COMMENTS OF INSPECTING OFFICERS

Exploration:

| Sl.No. | Item | Proposals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|--|---|--|---|
| 1a | Backlog of previous year | 05 bore holes | Drilled 5 bore holes as proposed | No back log as on date of inspection |
| 1b | Exploration over lease area for geological axis 1 or 2 | All the ML area to be covered under G-1 &2 | All the ML area has been covered under G1 & G2 | Complied statute |
| 1c | Exploration Agencies and Expenditure in lakh rupees during the year | Exploration to be carried out departmentall Y | Carried out as proposed | No expenditure statement of the Exploaration available as on date of inspection |
| 1d | Balance area to be explored to bring Geological axis in 1 or 2 | No proposal | NIL | The whole of the ML area has been covered under G 1 & 2 status of exploration under UNFC |
| 1e | Balance reserve as on 01/04/20 | Stipulated at G1 - 73957 MT, G2 - 13765.2 MT | Same as projected as on 01/04/2016 | No deviation in this aspect |
| 1f | General remarks of inspecting officers on geology, exploration etc | Details of exploration given in the SoM | Has carried out as proposed/ approved | The Lessee is found to have been able to carry out exploration satisfactorily as proposed/ approved in the MP |

Development :

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|--|---|--|--------------------------|
| 2a | Location of development w.r.t.lease area | was proposed | Developed as proposed | No deviation |
| 2b | Separate benches in topsoil, overburden and minerals (Rule 15) | Seperate benches in OB and Minerals proposed | Developed seperate benches as proposed | No deviation |
| 2c | Stripping ratio or ore to OB ratio | 1:30 | Actual turned out to be 1:30.7 | No significant deviation |

| 2d | Quantity of topsoil generation in m3 | the year 2015- | Actual removal turned out to be 13772.08 M3 | Less generation of Top soil about 12% of the proposal. No significant deviation |
|----|---|--|--|---|
| 2e | Quantity of overburden generation in m3 | NIL | None | Apart from the top soil, no other OB, IB, SB were generated as was anticipated |
| 2f | General remarks of inspecting officers on development of pit w.r.t. type of deposit etc | Clear proposals given for Development | Actual development was carried out as per proposal | No significant deviation |

Exploitation:

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|---|-----------------|-----------------------------------|--|
| 3a | Number of pit proposed for production | Only 1 main pit | Developed only 1 main pit | No deviation |
| 3b | Quantity of ROM mineral production proposed | 4108 MT | 1120.675 MT | Significantly under produced due to fluid market situation |
| 3c | Recovery of sailable/usable mineral from ROM production | | Carried out as proposed | No deviation |
| 3d | Quantity of mineral reject generation | None | NIL | |
| 3e | Grade of mineral rejects generation and threshold value declared. | the threshold | Threshold value strictly followed | No deviation |
| 3f | Quantity of sub grade mineral generation. | NONE | NIL | No subgrade ore produced here |

| 3g | Grade of sub grade mineral generation | None | NIL | No subgrade classification in case of Manganese ores |
|----|--|---|--|--|
| 3h | Manual / Mechanised method adopted for segregating from ROM | Semi mechanised method of mining | Practised Semi- Mechanised of Mining as well as proposed | No deviation |
| 3i | Any analysis or beneficiation study proposed and carried out for sub grade mineral and rejects. | None | NIL | No beneficiation work proposed nor carried out here |
| 3j | Provision of drilling and blasting in mineral benches | Hand held drilling as and when necessary | Carried out as proposed | No deviation |
| 3k | Provision of mining machineries in mineral benches | NONE | Not applicable | |
| 31 | Whether height of benches in overburden and mineral suitable for method of mining proposed in MP/SOM | Most suitable method of mining proposed in MP | Carried out as proposed in approved MP | This is the best suitable method of mining for the type and nature of ore deposits |
| 3m | Total area covered under excavation/pits | 4.20 hactares | 4.20 hactares as well already exploited | No deviation |
| 3n | Ore to OB ratio for the pit/mine during the year. | 1:30 | 1:30.7 | No significant deviation |
| 30 | Total area put in use under different heads at the end of year | Specific land use pattern proposed in the MP | Present Land use pattern is: Out of total ML area of 13.796 hactares 1. Degraded Land by pit = 6.125 ha, 2. Degraded by dump = 1.52 ha, 3. Degraded by road = 0.16 ha 4. Plant/ Buildings = 1.19 ha, | No significant deviation |

| 3p | Production of ROM mineral during the last five year period as applicable | production for 2015-16 was | the year | | Much under produced |
|----|--|----------------------------|-------------------|-----------------|------------------------------------|
| 3q | General remarks of inspecting officers on method of mining etc. | schedule given | strictly fluidity | achieved due to | No adverse effect / change noticed |

Solid Waste Management - Dumping:

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|--|----------------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------|
| 4a | Separate dumping of topsoil, OB and mineral rejects (Rule 32,33) | Seperate dumpings proposed | Carried out as per proposal | No deviation |
| 4b | Location of topsoil, OB and mineral reject dumps | Northeastern side of the ML | Developed as proposed | No deviatin |
| 4c | Number of dumps within lease area and outside of lease area | Only 1 proposed | Only 1 developed as well | No deviation |
| 4d | Location of dumps w.r.t. ultimate pit limit (Rule 16) | Dump proposed outside UPL | Placed as proposed | No deviation |
| 4e | Number of active and alive dumps. | 1 only | 1 only | No deviation |
| 4f | Number of dead dumps. | None | NIL | No dead dump as of now |
| 4h | Whether Retaining wall or garland drain all along dumps are there. | proposed all | | No deviation |

PAGE: 7

| 4i | Length of Retaining wall or garland drain all along dumps | retaining wall | Actually 136 m long retaining wall erected | About 14 m span was naturally covere by a hig raining slope, hence not required to erect additional retaining wall |
|----|--|--|--|--|
| 4k | Specific comments of inspecting officer on waste dump management | Waste management programme was clearly given in the approved mining plan | The same was followed as far as praticable | No significant deviation nor adverse effect under this head |

Solid Waste Management - Backfilling:

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|---|-------------|-------------------------------|---|
| 5a | Status of part or full extraction of mineral from mined out area before starting backfilling. | No proposal | Hence no work of back filling | There has been no matured land for back filling |
| 5b | Area under backfilling of mined out area | NIL | NONE | |
| 5c | Concurrent use of topsoil for restoration or rehabilitation of mineral out area (Rule 32) | NIL | Not applicable | No chance as of now |
| 5d | Total area fully reclaimed and rehabilitated | NIL | NONE | |
| 5e | General remarks of inspecting officers on backfilling and reclamation etc. | NIL | NONE | The type and nature of the deposit and the stage of development and explotation has not rendered any matured land for rehabilitation and back filling |

Progressive Mine Clousre Plan:

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|--|-----------|---------------|--|
| 6a | Whether Annual report on PMCP submitted on time and correctly. Rule 23 E(2). | Yes | Yes | PMCP annual report has been submitted to IBm on a regular basis |
| 6b | Area available for rehabilitation (ha). | None | NIL | |
| 6c | afforestation done (ha). | 1.5 ha | 1.35 ha | |
| 6d | No. of saplings planted during the year | 3000 | 3800 | |
| 6e | Cumulative no .of plants | 25,000 | 20200 | No significant deviation |
| 6f | Any other method of rehabilitation | None | NIL | |
| 6g | Cost incurred on watch and care during the year | Not given | Not available | |
| 6h | Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (i) Voids available for backfilling (Lx B x D | None | NIL | |
| 6i | Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (ii) Voids filled by waste / tailings | None | NIL | |

| 6j | Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (iii)Afforestati on on backfilled area | Nil | None |
|----|---|--------------------------------------|----------------------------|
| 6k | Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (iv) Rehabilitation by making water reservoir | Nil | None |
| 61 | Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (v)any other specific means. | Nil | None |
| 6m | Compliance of rehabilitation of waste land within lease (i)afforestation | Nil | None |
| 6n | Compliance of rehabilitation of waste land within lease (ii)Area rehabilitation (ha) | Nil | None |
| 60 | Compliance of rehabilitation of waste land within lease (iii)Method of rehabilitation | Nil | None |
| 6p | Compliance of environmental monitoring (core zone and buffer zone) | Routine air and water sampling | Carried out as per propsal |

General remarks Specific Prposal under PMC have There has been no of inspecting measures to be been followed matured land for officers on PMCP taken under satisfactorily rehabilitaion and compliance and PMCP are given progressive in the MP closure operations etc.

Mineral Conservation:

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|--|---------------------------|---|--------------------------------|
| 7a | ROM Mineral dispatch or grade-wise sorting within lease area | No details given | +10% Manganese ores are mined and utilised here | |
| 7b | Method of grade- wise mineral sorting i.e. manual or mechanical. | Manual and Semi Manual | Proposals were carried out | No prolem under this aspect |
| 7c | Different grade of mineral sorted out at mines. | Only one grade + 10 Mn | Only one grade produced as proposed | |
| 7d | Any beneficiation process at mines . | Nil | None | |
| 7e | General remarks of inspecting officer on Mineral conservation and beneficiation issues | NIL | None | |

Environment:

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|---|-----------|---------------|---------|
| 8a | Separate removal and utilization of topsoil (Rule 32) | Yes | Yes, in vogue | |

PAGE: 11

| d8 | Concurrent use or storage of topsoil | Specific proposal made | Utilised for civil works as ar as possble as proposed in approved MP | |
|--------|---|------------------------|--|---|
| 8c | Separate dumps for overburden, waste rock, rejects and fines (Rule 33) | NIL | None | |
| 8d | Use of overburden, waste rock, rejects and fines dumps for restoring the land to its original use | No proposal as such | No work | |
| 8e | Phased restoration, reclamation and rehabilitation of lands affected by mining operations (Pits, dumps etc) | Nil | None | No matured land as on date |
| 8f | Baseline information on existence of plantation and additional plantation done (Rule 41) | Yes | Plantations have been carried out as per proposal | |
| 8g | Survival rate | 80 % | 70% | |
| 8h | Water sprinkling on roads to control airborne dust | sprinkling on | Carried out as per proposal | |
| 8i | General remarks of inspecting officer on aesthetic beauty in and around mines area | The mine is category A | Limited mining activities | Small Manganese mine, manual/ semi manual method, hence no adverse effect of mining on the mines environment as on date of inspection |

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|---|--|--|--|
| 9a | Status of submission of Monthly and Annual returns | Yes | Monthly and Annual returns have been submitted on a regualr basis | |
| 9b | Scrutiny of Annual return for information on Mining Engineer, Geologist and Manager | Proposal given | Statutory personnel have been appointed in this mine | |
| 9c | Scrutiny of Annual return on land use pattern for area under pits, reclaimed area, dumps etc. | use pattern | Present Land use pattern is: Out of total ML area of 13.796 hactares 1. Degraded Land by pit = 6.125 ha, 2. Degraded by dump = 1.52 ha, 3. Degraded by road = 0.16 ha 4. Plant/ Buildings = 1.19 ha, | |
| 9d | Scrutiny of Annual return on afforestation | For 2015-16 , 3000 saplings proposed | Against the target, 3800 saplings were planted during the last year | |
| 9e | Scrutiny of Annual return on mineral reject generation (Grade and quantity) | NIL | None | No minerla reject in this mine practically |
| 9f | Scrutiny of Annual return on ROM stock and/or graded ore | Specific proposal given | As per AR 2015-16, ROM stcok was 636.181 MT of -25% Mn , 863.990 MT of 25-35% Mn, 107 MT of + 35% Mn | |
| 9g | Scrutiny of Annual return on sale value, Ex. Mine price and production cost | Specifics not given | Actual sale price is Rs 3218 /- per ton of 10-25% Mn , Rs 3700/- per ton of 25-35% Mn whereas cost of production is quuted as Rs 4777 per ton inclusive of all taxes etc | This is not a profit making mine |
| 9h | Scrutiny of Annual return on fixed assets | Not given | Fixed assets calculated at Rs 60,25,000 approx | |

PAGE: 13

Issued on Compliance on

| Details of violations observed during violation pointed out | g current inspection and compliance position of |
|---|---|
| Violation observed | Show couse position |

Rule NO.

Date: (SHRI L H CHHANGTE)

Issued on Compliance on

Rule NO.

Indian Bureau of Mines